SUSPENSION OF FORMAL MEETING PROCEDURES

The Presiding Member with approval of two-thirds of the members present suspended meeting procedures pursuant to Regulation 20(1) of the Local Government (Procedures at Meetings) Regulations 2013 at 7:24pm for up to 10 minutes to facilitate an informal discussion of the matter.

The period of suspension came to an end at 7:27pm and formal meeting procedures resumed.

ITEM 4.3
UNLEY OVAL FENCE INVESTIGATIONS - CONCEPT DESIGNS CONSULTATION
MOVED Councillor J Bonham
SECONDED Councillor C Crabbe

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The project not be progressed any further.

LOST

DIVISION

A Division was requested by Mayor M Hewitson and the previous decision was set aside. The following members responded as having voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION:

Councillors J Bonham, C Crabbe and R Rogers

The following members responded as having voted AGAINST THE MOTION:

Councillors M Broniecki, L Doyle, S Finos, J Gaffey, P Hughes, D Palmer, M Rabbitt, T Roach and J Russo

The MOTION was declared **LOST**

Resolution No. C1530/25

SUSPENSION OF FORMAL MEETING PROCEDURES

The Presiding Member with approval of two-thirds of the members present suspended meeting procedures pursuant to Regulation 20(1) of the Local Government (Procedures at Meetings) Regulations 2013 at 7:51pm for up to 10 minutes to facilitate an informal discussion of the matter.

The period of suspension came to an end at 7:55pm and formal meeting procedures resumed.

MOVED Councillor S Finos
SECONDED Councillor J Russo

That:

1. The report be received.

2. The three proposed concept designs go out for consultation as set out in this report Item 4.3 Council Meeting 28/04/2025 is endorsed, including an additional option of a perimeter fence with regular spaced gates around Unley Oval appropriate to the current match-day alignment, without brick pillars and arches be developed, costed and consulted on as part of the Community Consultation process.

3. The Council commence community consultation as outlined above.

4. The Chief Executive Officer be authorised to make minor editorial or formatting amendments as required to finalise the endorsed approach and timeline regarding this matter.

5. Following the conclusion of community consultation, a further report summarising the feedback received on the Draft Concept Plans and presentation of the successful final design option that incudes the costings and funding opportunities be submitted to Council.

Councillor J Bonham requested a variation as follows:

1. The report be received.

2. The proposed concept design and consultation approach as set out in this report (Item 4.3, Council Meeting 28/04/2025) is endorsed.

3. The Council commence community consultation as outlined in this report (Item 4.3, Council Meeting 28/04/2025), including an explicit ‘No Fence’ option.

4. The Chief Executive Officer be authorised to make minor editorial or formatting amendments as required to finalise the endorsed approach and timeline regarding this matter.

5. Following the conclusion of consultation, a further report summarising feedback received on the ‘No Fence’ option, the Draft Concept Plans, presentation of the final design, and costings be submitted to Council for decision on whether to proceed with the perimeter fence.

The mover and the seconder did not provide consent for the variation.

AMENDMENT

MOVED Councillor J Bonham
SECONDED Councillor C Crabbe

1. The report be received.

2. The proposed concept design and consultation approach as set out in this report (Item 4.3, Council Meeting 28/04/2025) is endorsed.

3. The Council commence community consultation as outlined in this report (Item 4.3, Council Meeting 28/04/2025), including an explicit 'No Fence' option.

4. The Chief Executive Officer be authorised to make minor editorial or formatting amendments as required to finalise the endorsed approach and timeline regarding this matter.

5. Following the conclusion of consultation, a further report summarising feedback received on the 'No Fence' option, the Draft Concept Plans, presentation of the final design, and costings be submitted to Council for decision on whether to proceed with the perimeter fence.

LOST

The vote was taken on the original motion.

CARRIED

DIVISION

A Division was requested by Mayor M Hewitson and the previous decision was set aside. The following members responded as having voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION:

Councillors M Broniecki, L Doyle, S Finos, J Gaffey, P Hughes, D Palmer, M Rabbitt, T Roach and J Russo

The following members responded as having voted AGAINST THE MOTION:

Councillors J Bonham, C Crabbe and R Rogers

The MOTION was declared **CARRIED**

Resolution No. C1531/25

Councillor Russo left the Chamber at 8:29pm.

Councillor Russo returned to the Chamber at 8:30pm.